The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is not binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte JAMES C. CRONE

Application 10/680,678

MAILED

MAR 0 2 2006

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

ORDER REMANDING TO THE EXAMINER

Before FLEMING, <u>Chief Administrative Patent Judge</u>, HARKCOM, <u>Vice Chief Administrative Patent Judge</u>, and MACDONALD, <u>Administrative Patent Judge</u>.

PER CURIAM

On consideration of the record, we note that the appeal includes rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101 involving the statutory nature of at least some of the claims on appeal. We further note that the evaluation of such claims could possibly be affected by the Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks' published final version of the "interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Application for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility,"

signed October 26, 2005. This document is located at the following URL:

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/guidelines101 20051026.pdf.

Furthermore, at least one of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101 is directed to whether or not the claims lie within the technological arts. The evaluation of such claims could also be possibly affected by Ex parte Lundgren, 76 USPQ2d 1385 (BdPatApp&Int 2005). Thus, we hereby remand this application for reconsideration in view of the "Guidelines" and the recent Ex parte Lundgren decision cited above, and for any other action that the examiner deems appropriate.

REMANDED

MICHAEL R. FLEMING, Chief

Administrative Patent Judge

GARY V. HARKCOM, Vice Chief

/Administrative Patent Judge

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND

INTERFERENCES

ALLEN R. MACDONALD

Administrative Patent Judge

Appeal No. 2006-0460 Application 10/680,678

Coats & Bennett, PLLC P.O. Box 5 Raleigh, NC 27602